

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,606	01/05/2004	Mark J. Ratain	ARCD:389US	2944	
32425	7590 03/03/2006		EXAMINER		
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.			GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE		
600 CONGR SUITE 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, T	AUSTIN, TX 78701			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/751,606	RATAIN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jeanine A. Goldberg	1634		
The I	MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTEN WHICHEVEI - Extensions of the after SIX (6) MI - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply received.	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu wed by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05.</u>	January 2004.			
2a)☐ This a	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed	in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of (Claims				
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim(6) ☐ Claim(7) ☐ Claim((s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application the above claim(s) is/are withdrages is/are allowed. (s) is/are allowed. (s) is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Application Pap	pers				
10) The dra Applica Replace	ecification is objected to by the Examinawing(s) filed on is/are: a) act ant may not request that any objection to the ement drawing sheet(s) including the corrett or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 3	85 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	wledgment is made of a claim for foreig b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documer Certified copies of the priority documer Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage		
· ==	erences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar			
	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) bisclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/751,606

Art Unit: 1634

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-25, drawn to a method for evaluating the risk of irinotecan toxicity, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - Claims 26-33, drawn to kits comprising oligonucleotide primers, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the primers of the instant claims may be used in a materially different method. The primers may be used to amplify the gene, may be used in antisense methods or may be used for isolation and purification of the gene.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Further a search of each of these inventions would not be coextensive of a search for each of the other inventions.

Page 3

Restriction Requirement Applicable to All Groups Requiring more than one **Patentably Distinct Polymorphisms:**

SEQUENCE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

The claims are directed to 6 patentably distinct polymorphisms. Each group named above is subject to further restriction. Each group detailed above reads on patentably distinct polymorphic sequence of nucleic acids. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each group.

Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because the protein encoded by these sequences differ in structure and in function and in biological activity. Further, even where the polymorphic nucleic acid changes have no effect on protein structure or function, these sequences themselves represent allelic variations which have different diagnostic and therapeutic implications.

For the claims drawn to a combination of polymorphisms, namely one or more polymorphisms. A restriction is applied to each Group. As provided in MPEP 803.04, "Applicants will be required to select one combination for examination." The selected combination will be searched and examined. A combination may be as few as a single polymorphism or as many polymorphisms as the combination of all the recited

polymorphisms. Applicant is required to specifically indicate the single combination desired. All combinations containing the allowable polymorphism sequences and any patentably indistinct polymorphism sequences will be rejoined and allowed. Rejoinder will be permitted for claims requiring any allowable polymorphism sequence(s). Any claims which have been restricted and nonselected and which are limited to the allowable sequence(s) will be rejoined and examined.

Applicant is further required to distinctly point out the location in the drawings, figures, or SEQ IDS of the instant application to which the elected sequence is drawn. Please include in the selection of a sequence or specific combination of sequence the SEQ ID(s), the Genebank numbers) (or any other identifier), the table or figure number, and the row or column location in the table.

This is <u>NOT</u> an election of species. Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to restriction requirement pursuant to 35 USC 121 and 37 CFR 1.141. By statute, "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." 35 U.S.C. 121. Pursuant to this statute, the rules provide that '[I]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in a single application, the examiner in his action shall require the applicant... to elect that invention to which his

claim shall be restricted." 37 CFR 1.142 (a). See also 37 CFR 1.141(a). It is noted that searching more than one of the claimed patentably distinct sequences represents a serious burden for the office.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the polymorphic nucleic acids and/or combinations of polymorphic nucleic acids are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evident or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variant or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other inventions.

Notice for Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/751,606 Page 7

Art Unit: 1634

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Jeanine Goldberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-0743. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached on (571) 272- 0745.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Central Fax Number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Jeanine Goldberg
Primary Examiner

March 1, 2006